

Submission Instructions for Oral Paper and Poster Abstracts

1. Abstract Organization

The Academy recommends the following organization and section headings for an oral paper/poster abstract, but you may supplement these sections with others of your own. Do not include author details in the body of your abstract.

Maximum word count: 450.

Background/Significance: One or two sentences to set the context of your work and justification for this project, review or case report. This brief introduction may include a highlight of what is known in the literature, or a relevant reason for why further knowledge or discussion is being sought.

Methods: For purposes of studies, reviews and programmatic innovations, describe what you did clearly and concisely. If applicable to your work, describe the study design (e.g. randomized controlled trial, cross-sectional survey, etc.); give subject details and how they were recruited; and explain what methods and statistical tests you used. There should be a statement about ethical (i.e., Institutional Review Board) approval if appropriate. For case reports, this section can be renamed **Case**, and can include a brief description of the case that includes the relevant information that supports your discussion and point of view.

Results: Describe in words your main findings. Address the statistical significance of your data, where relevant. *Note that, while the abstract is submitted with results in text form, we encourage alternate presentations in the in-person oral paper/poster presentation at the meeting, including tables, graphs and charts.* For case reports, this section can be omitted.

Discussion: Discuss your findings in a brief narrative. Explain the meaning of your results or findings, or say how they compare with what you expected, or how they compare with previously published work. For cases, you might consider interweaving elements of the case and previously published literature to demonstrate your point of view.

Conclusion/Implications: Give a summary of your final conclusion(s), and the implications of your project or case, in as brief a narrative as possible.

References: Two to five references. Cite references in your abstract text using the first author's last name and year (e.g., Levenson, 2011), however, in this section cite the full reference, e.g.:

Betul O, Ipek M: Brain tumor presenting with psychiatric symptoms. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2011; 23:E43-E44.

2. Trainee involvement and eligibility for Trainee Poster Award

To be considered a Trainee Poster and be eligible for a Trainee Poster Award, a trainee must be a substantial contributor to the work, the first author on the abstract, and the presenter of the poster at the ACLP meeting. (Trainee designation refers to students, residents, and fellows. The trainee must be at the trainee level at the time of submission). Please respond to the trainee involvement question if you are submitting a poster abstract or an oral paper abstract with the option of being considered for a poster if not accepted as an oral paper.

3. Incomplete data

If you plan to present data that you are in the process of collecting but have limited data at the time of your submission, you will be asked to agree with the statement that you expect to have meaningful data to present by the meeting date. You should consider withdrawing accepted abstracts prior to the meeting date if you have not collected what you deem to be adequate data for presentation.

4. Previous Publication or Presentation Eligibility

Work published or accepted for publication before April 2, 2019, is not eligible for presentation at this meeting. For work that is expected to be published between April 2, 2019, and CLP 2019, you will be asked to describe the information that supports its presentation at the meeting.

For an abstract that has been accepted for presentation at another scientific meeting, or previously presented at another scientific meeting, you will be asked to describe the nature of the other meeting and provide information that supports its presentation at CLP 2019.

5. Case reports

If you are submitting a case report, you will be asked to indicate that your abstract includes a case report and to then review [CLP oral papers and posters guidelines for case report submissions](#).

6. Relevance to C-L Psychiatry

You will be asked to agree that your abstract is relevant and specific to C-L Psychiatry. While many topics are relevant to C-L psychiatrists, topics that are not specific to C-L Psychiatry, and ones that would be better served by being presented elsewhere (e.g., another psychiatry subspecialty meeting, or a non-psychiatry meeting), will not be accepted.

7. Examples

Here are good examples of poster abstracts selected for the 2018 program:

Posters (please note updated suggestions for 2019 about Case Report abstract organization, as described above in this document):

- [Psychiatric Consultation-Liaison \(C-L\) Service Accessibility and Consultee Satisfaction: A Quality Improvement Project](#)
- [Catatonia & Delirium: A Prospective, Observational Study in the General Medical Setting](#)
- [Ketamine's role in Reversing Valproate toxicity: A Case Report](#)

Oral Papers

- [Inflammation and the brain: Tocilizumab may redefine our understanding of depression and related symptoms in the medically ill](#)
- [Prediction of patient outcomes associated with delirium by novel bispectral EEG device](#)
- [Disruptions in Breast Cancer Care: Comparing Patients with Schizophrenia with Matched Controls without Mental Illness](#)